



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,807	08/04/2003	Ervin Wagner	8894.01-1	7226
68308	7590	04/04/2007	EXAMINER	
ROBERT L. JUDD			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
720 STATE STREET				
P.O. BOX 890			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. JOSEPH, MI 49085			3711	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,807	WAGNER, ERVIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raleigh Chiu	3711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2006.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-9 and 21-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 18-20 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 11 December 2006,
PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are
set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must
exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action
is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office
action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal
under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR
41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal
brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the
appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since
they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the
difference between the increased fees and the amount previously
paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of
reopening prosecution by signing below:

Gene Kim, SPE, AU 3711.

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 and 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 4, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Passing Shot Drill described in "Competitive Tennis" (Competitive Tennis).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 10 and 11, Figure 4.16 describes a passing shot drill in which player A feeds (sequentially projects) tennis balls toward player B. During the feeding, player A appears at the center "T" of the tennis court; player B is supposed to hit away from player A by aiming at various targets specifically positioned away from player A.

5. Claims 2, 3 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Competitive Tennis as applied above.

Regarding claims 2 and 14, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have player A feed the balls to player B at different depths or speeds or spins to simulate

Art Unit: 3711

players of different abilities. That is to say, by having player A feed balls that were hit fast and low, player B would be trying to hit passing shots against a simulated opponent of a higher skill level. Similarly, by having player A feed balls that were hit slow and waist-high, player B would be trying to hit passing shots against a simulated opponent of a weaker skill level.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, tennis ball machines are old and well-known in the art to sequentially project or feed balls to players.

Regarding claim 12, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a coach or trainer direct the drill to ensure the drill is being performed properly.

Regarding claim 15, as the drill is designed for player B to hit down-the-line and crosscourt passing shots away from player A, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have player A move laterally to either side to make one the passing shots more difficult to execute. That is to say, it would have been obvious to have player A move toward a particular sideline, thereby making it more difficult for player B to hit a passing shot on that side.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, although the Competitive Tennis drill is designed for singles players, it would have been

Art Unit: 3711

obvious to extend this teaching to doubles as well, thereby having a plurality of opponents.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim, can be reached on (571) 272-4463.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 3711

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Raleigh W. Chiu
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif
30 March 2007